

# BENTON COUNTY SMP UPDATE OPEN HOUSE EVENTS



## Community Questionnaire & Open House Meeting Summary, April 11, 2013

Prepared by BERK and The Watershed Company, May 2 2013

To help Benton County consider the long-term vision for its Yakima and Columbia River shorelines and its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Update, Benton County issued a web-based Community Questionnaire on Survey Monkey mid-March 2013.

The questionnaire asked questions about:

- Current shoreline use
- Desired future use
- Physical and Visual Access
- Preservation and restoration options and tools

The results of the survey are attached.

Benton County advertised the questionnaire in a display ad in the Tri-City Herald, a postcard to a mailing list of shoreline property owners, and an email flier to persons interested in planning and the SMP in Benton County. After approximately 3 weeks, the County closed the questionnaire on March 31, 2013. The County received 132 responses. Benton County hosted an open house to share the results of the Community Questionnaire on April 11, 2013. Fifteen members of the public attended, as well as two Shoreline Advisory Committee members. This document summarizes the comments made at the Open House.



## GENERAL QUESTIONS

Questions regarding the 200-foot Shoreline Jurisdiction:

- Is that more than it was previously?
- How are we going to measure it? Historically the “height of the tree” is what determined the 200ft.
- 200ft is not a setback, correct?
- What is the setback now? 100ft?
  - **Response:** The shoreline jurisdiction is generally 200 feet from the ordinary high water mark, and has not changed since development of the County’s 1974 SMP. Shoreline jurisdiction refers to the applicable area of the SMP (management area). Through the SMP update, setbacks or buffers would be developed based on current, existing conditions. We will also consider Benton County’s critical areas regulations. The current critical area code setback is generally 100 feet, except along certain steep slopes.

## FEEDBACK FOLLOWING REVEAL OF SURVEY RESULTS:

### Comments & Questions

- **Comment:** “If you are saying the river shoreline is our identity, then first impressions matter. How do the dilapidated trailers and other garbage along the rivers reflect us? We need just enforcement of junked cars that are on properties along the river.”

### Public Access

- “Who will provide public access? Will private landowners be required to provide access on their land?”
- “Sounds like you are allowing public access on private lands.”
- “What are private land owners obligated to do?”
  - **Response:** The goal and preferences of the SAC members are to provide public access on public lands. Public access on public lands is a priority in the Shoreline Management Act as well. Please note the State Department of Ecology rules for SMP’s (WAC 173-26) also indicate that new development that creates a demand for public access should provide for public access, such as new subdivisions providing a trail to the shoreline. Public access is not required for existing homes or existing businesses.
- “Do you have enough ‘public property’ to create trails?”
- “It is a balancing act of use versus impact”
- “Ad hoc public access is a community and environmental problem; the County should purchase land to create designated public access new Twin Bridges”
- “We might all want public access but are we willing to pay for it? i.e. property taxes increased?”

### Restoration

- “What is the Restoration Plan? What does it do?”
  - **Response:**
    - It is separate from the regulations
    - It is completely voluntary
    - It brings all the restoration efforts happening in the County into one document
- “Can you give us an example of restoration?”
- “Will the plan tell us what species to plant?”
  - **Response:** The County’s website already has some information on this topic.
    - “What are the local ‘noxious’ weeds?”
    - “What do you do if you see a safety issue or pollution problem?” (Scott Revell gave examples of places to call that are referenced on the KID website)

### “No net ecological loss”

- “Who decides if there is no net loss?”

- **Response:** The SMP regulations will be designed on a County-wide scale to result in no net loss of ecological function, and we'll be required to "show our work" to the Washington Department of Ecology in a Cumulative Impacts Analysis.
- "An EIS costs thousands of dollars; I don't think individual property owners should have to pay for that."
  - **Response:** We're looking to limit the personal/private obligations for studies. One exception would be for "conditional use" applications.
- "Who will enforce this?"
  - **Response:** The County will enforce the SMP. Every 8 years, the County will evaluate the effectiveness of the policies of the SMP and revise the SMP as needed.

#### **Program Intent and Policy Direction**

- "Has there been a change in direction?"
- "What are the changes between the 1974 plan and now?"
  - **Response:**
    - River flows have been altered and improved.
    - There are more homes.
    - In the Shoreline Management Act and Washington State Department of Ecology SMP Guidelines (WAC 173-26), there is an increased focus on habitat protection and restoration, more emphasis on biological health.
    - The listing of some species of salmon on the Endangered Species Act has increased regulatory complexity.
    - There is more attention and regulation on shoreline stabilization.

#### **Setbacks**

- "What is the 100 foot setback?"
  - **Response:** The 100-foot setback is a standard in the Benton County critical areas code. The setback requirement will be reconsidered as part of this process. Through the SMP update, setbacks or buffers would be developed based on current, existing conditions. We will also consider Benton County's critical areas regulations.





## Benton County Shoreline Master Program Update

Community Visioning Survey Summary  
April 11, 2013




BENTON COUNTY  
Shoreline Master Program Update





## Where does the SMP apply?

- Minimum Shoreline Jurisdiction
  - Stream  $\geq$  20 cfs
  - Upland areas 200 feet from ordinary high water mark
  - Floodways and 200 feet of adjacent floodplain area
  - Associated wetlands
- NEW Uses
  - Existing shoreline uses and activities may continue



## Why a vision for the shoreline?

- Build a local consensus for the desired future
- Ensure the SMP reflects the long-term desires of the rural community
- Survey Topics:
  - Current shoreline use
  - Desired future use
  - Physical and Visual Access
  - Preservation and restoration options and tools



## Shoreline Enjoyment and Use

- Other Uses
  - **Biking** (both mountain biking and along the riverside paths)
  - Enjoying the **quiet** and **peacefulness** of the river
  - Dog walking
  - Enjoying the **views**
  - Photography

*“We live along the Yakima and enjoy the peacefulness of the river and the wildlife. Very few motorized boats on the Yakima keeps it peaceful.”*

## Desired Future Uses

*What are the desired future uses of Benton County's Shorelines?*

Trails for walking and hiking	73%
Areas and viewpoints for wildlife viewing or bird watching	42%
Shoreline public access points	40%
Parks or designated swim areas	30%
Within urban growth areas, commercial developments along the shoreline that have views, access, or trails to	26%
Boat ramps or road access to the water	22%
Camping and day use facilities	18%
Residential uses developed with common open space or recreation	15%
Concessions (food and/or recreation oriented businesses)	13%
Port, marina, or other water-oriented businesses	11%

Desired future uses match today's most common uses.

- Recreation-focused


More intense uses are desired by a smaller percentage of responders

4/11/2013 8

## Other Future Uses

- Protection and conservation
  - Wildlife
  - Open space
- Comments on commercial uses
  - No commercial use
  - Well-planned along the Columbia, limited along the Yakima
- Other preferences for the future:
  - Trash receptacles at all public access points
  - Improved walking trails along dikes and other areas

4/11/2013 9



## Perspectives on Access

### Too Much Physical Access (Number of Responses)




*“Van Giessen and Duportail Yakima river access needs to be more completely developed or use needs to be prevented... If we are going to develop the river for tubing then there needs to be money for trash collection, restrooms and most importantly law enforcement on motorized boats going up and down the river all day long during the heavy use months.”*

4/11/2013

11

## Visual Access

### Adequate Visual Access (Number of Responses)



### Too Little Visual Access (Number of Responses)



4/11/2013

12

## Visual Access

**Too Much Visual Access** (Number of Responses)



- Few people felt there was too much visual access to the shoreline.

4/11/2013 13


## Additional Public Access

- Many locations within city boundaries.
- Additional locations in Benton County
  - Between Benton City and Prosser
  - Between Benton City and Horn Rapids
  - Hanford Reach
  - Crow Butte
- Comments on areas of heavy use (Vernita area, Horn Rapids area)
- Other areas and comments

“In my opinion, there is unprecedented access to the shorelines in Benton County. The Yakima River shorelines should be conserved with limited development and public access. It is too small and will be destroyed quickly. The Columbia river shoreline should be the focus of public facilities, access, etc. It is already developed more so the emphasis should continue on it rather than destroying the Yakima.”

“We own a home at the end of Grosscup and the amount of "floater" traffic over the summer has decimated the wildlife in our neighborhood and is ruining the safety of our neighborhood.”

4/11/2013 14



## Areas in Need of Restoration


- County areas specifically identified:
  - Hover Park – Paterson
  - Prosser – Plymouth
  - Wallula Gap
- Comments on restoration
  - Manage use to prevent damage
  - Concerns about trash
  - Concerns about invasive species

*“Protect them before it is too late. Once the areas are destroyed, it costs more to restore than protecting in the first place.”*

*“Preservation of open space is critical, both along the rivers and the ridges. This is the identity of our community and how we preserve (or don't preserve) the area will be our lasting legacy.”*

*“We are fortunate to have the two rivers in Benton County. We need to have policies in place to protect and perpetuate its health and existence.”*

4/11/2013 16



## How Will the County Use the Results?

- Results of the questionnaire will help the County to:
  - Develop shoreline use, public access, and recreation policies and regulations
  - Identify topics where more information or discussion is needed
  - Consider protection and restoration priorities for the voluntary restoration plan

4/11/2013 18

## Opportunities to Participate

- Monthly Shoreline Advisory Committee Meetings
  - **Second Thursday** | 3:00-4:30 P.M.
- Regional Public Forums & Meetings
  - **June 2013** | Preliminary Shoreline Master Program elements
  - **Fall 2013** | Draft Shoreline Master Program
  - **Winter/Spring 2014** | Planning Commission Public Meetings
  - **Spring 2014** | BOCC Public Meetings & Hearing
- Review Draft Shoreline Master Program
  - **Preliminary SMP Elements** | Available Summer/Fall 2013
  - **Draft Restoration Plan** | Available June 2013

4/11/2013

19